Interview Summary	09/468,639	KAWADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Regina Liang	2629	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	) personnel):		
(1) <u>Regina Liang</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Matthew Polson</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10/4/07.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)☐ was not reached.	h) <u></u> N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney poreasons why there would be a serious burden on the exact A new restriction will be mailed including reasons why the (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTIRequirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	inted out the restriction mainer if restriction is not in restriction is not in restriction is not in restriction is required.  Indicate the amendments red.)  ACTION MUST INCLUE and last Office action has a R OF ONE MONTH OR THERVIEW SUMMARY F	ailed on 9/26/07 did not presequired based on M.P.E.F.  ner agreed would render the claim of the substance of the substance of the claim of the substance of th	he claims ms THE ANT IS
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an			
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	r's signature, if required	

Application No.

Applicant(s)